

ANTOINETTE LIGGINS, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
OFFICER MICHAEL COHEN, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

OFFICER MICHAEL COHEN, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Upon careful consideration of Plaintiffs’ motion for a mental examination of Defendant Officer Michael Cohen, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35, the Court agrees with Defendants that Plaintiffs have not made the requisite showing under Rule 35 that the mental condition of Cohen is “in controversy” or that “good cause” exists for a mental examination. *See Schlagenhauf v. Holder*, 379 U.S. 104, 121 (1964); Fed. R. Civ. P. 35(a).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a mental examination of Defendant Officer Michael Cohen is **DENIED**. ECF No. 78.

Dated this 16th day of May, 2018.